Table 2-H-4a Bay Area to Merced – High-Speed Train Alignment Evaluation Matrix San Francisco to San Jose Segment

Alignment = Alignment Carried Forward

Alignment = Alignment Eliminated

= Primary/Secondary Reason for Elimination

	Alignments U.S. 101 (Exclusive Guideway) Caltrain (Exclusive Guideway)			Caltrain (Shared Use)		
Objective	Transbay Terminal Station	4th & King Terminal Station	Transbay Terminal Station	4th & King Terminal Station	Four-Track	
Maximize Ridership/Revenue Potential						
Travel Time	5	5	5	5	4	
Express	31 min.	30 min.	30 min.	28 min.	35 min.	
Local	39 min.	37 min.	37 min.	36 min.	41 min.	
Length	5	5	5	5	5	
	48.4 mi. (77.9 Km.)	47.2 mi. (76.0 Km.)	48.2 mi. (77.6 Km.)	47.0 mi. (75.7 Km.)	48.0 mi. (77.3 Km)	
Minimize Operating	and Capital Costs.					
Length	5	5	5	5	5	
	48.4 mi. (77.9Km.)	47.2 mi. (76.0 Km.)	48.2 mi. (77.6 Km.)	47.0 mi. (75.7 Km.)	48.0 mi. (77.3 Km)	
Operational Issues	5	5	5	5	4	
	Some speed restrictions due to curves.	Some speed restrictions due to curves.	Some speed restrictions due to curves.	Some speed restrictions due to curves.	 Track capacity constraints due to shared use Need to optimize commuter & high-speed train schedules 	
Construction Issues	1	2	1	2	4	
	 Construction adjacent to major freeway. Stage construction, detours, nighttime work required. Soft-ground tunneling to reach Transbay Terminal. 	detours, nighttime work required. • Terminal on aerial	 Construction adjacent to & above active railroad. Staged construction, detours, nighttime work required. Soft-ground tunneling to reach Transbay Terminal 	 Construction adjacent to & above active railroad. Staged construction, detours, nighttime work required. Terminal on aerial structure above active Caltrain yard & station 	 Construction of grade separations will require staged construction, shoo-flys, detours, & nighttime work. Additional aerial structures adjacent to & above active railroad will require staged construction, detours, & nighttime work. 	

	Alignments					
	U.S. 101 (Exclusive Guideway)		Caltrain (Exclusive Guideway)		Caltrain (Shared Use)	
Objective	Transbay Terminal Station	4th & King Terminal Station	Transbay Terminal Station	4th & King Terminal Station	Four-Track	
Capital Cost	,	Ī	2		3	
	Highest costAerial structureMajor ROW costs		 High cost \$300 Million less than U.S. 101 Aerial structure Major ROW costs 		 Low cost Approx. 400 Mil. less than U.S. 101 Exclusive Guideway Uses existing infrastructure Assumes ½ cost of Caltrain Electrification & ½ cost of Caltrain San Francisco Downtown Extension 	
Right-of-Way Issues/Cost		1	2	2	4	
TSSueS/COST	Mostly commercial aMajor ROW costs	nd industrial.	Mostly commercial & residential. Less ROW costs		 Commercial, residential & industrial properties adjacent to railroad at roads to be grade separated. Bypass tracks take additional ROW 	
Land Use		2			4	
Compatibility and Conflicts	 Generally commercial with numerous segments residential (typically behind sound walls) Arial portion could be incompatible with residential development 		 Generally industrial with numerous segments of residential Passes through multiple suburban town centers Arial portion could be incompatible with residential development 		Generally industrial with numerous segments of residential Passes through multiple suburban town centers Critical land use & design issues associated with grade separations	
Visual Quality	1			3		
Impacts	Major New Visual Element – impacts on residential developments along freeway and Caltrain corridor			Impacts from grade separations – sensitive design critical		
Water Resources	1	1	4	4	4	
# of crossings of alignment (linear ft of alignment centerline)	27 (1,350)	27 (1,350)	19 (950)	19 (950)	19 (950)	
Floodplain Impacts	4	4	4	4	4	
# of 100 yr. floodplain crossings	31	31	25	25	25	
Length of alignment within 100 yr. floodplain	12,331	12,331	14,048	14,048	14,048	
Percent of total length within floodplain	18.1%	18.1%	20.1%	20.1%	20.1%	

	Alignments					
	U.S. 101 (Exclusive Guideway)		Caltrain (Exclusive Guideway)		Caltrain (Shared Use)	
Objective	Transbay Terminal Station	4th & King Terminal Station	Transbay Terminal Station	4th & King Terminal Station	Four-Track	
Threatened & Endangered Species Impacts	2	2	4	4	4	
# of threatened & endangered species (per CNDDB)	9	9	4	4	4	
# Federal endangered	7	7	3	3	3	
# Federal threatened	2	2	1	1	1	
# State endangered	3	3	2	2	2	
# State threatened	0	0	0	0	0	
Area of alignment within sensitive habitat (per CNDDB)	526,911	526,911	383,674	383,674	383,674	
Environmental Justice Impacts (Demographics)	4	4	4	4	4	
# block groups >50 percent Minority	66	66	56	56	56	
# block groups >50 percent low-income	1	1	1	1	1	
Potentially affected minority population	20,735	20,735	18,716	18,716	18,716	
Potentially affected low-income population	2	2	2	2	2	
Farmland Impacts	No farmland impacts					
Cultural Resources Impacts	Ę	5	1		4	
# of known resources within ROW	3 historic resources		Adverse effects on 6 historic train stations: Santa Clara, Palo Alto, Menlo Park, San Carlos, Burlingame, & Millbrae.		 Possible adverse effects on Santa Clara, Menlo Park, & Burlingame historic stations from single-track bypass structures – depending on design & location of bypass 	
Parks & Recreation/	•	3	4			
Wildlife Refuge Impacts	 Passes through or adjacent to 12 parks Need to evaluate avoidance & mitigation alternatives 		Passes through El Palo Alto Park Need to evaluate avoidance & mitigation alternatives			
Wetlands (sites/area)	(12/2.2 ac)	(12/2.2 ac)	(7/0.6 ac)	(7/0.6 ac)	(7/0.6 ac)	

	Alignments					
	U.S. 101 (Exclusive Guideway)		Caltrain (Exclusive Guideway)		Caltrain (Shared Use)	
Objective	Transbay Terminal Station	4th & King Terminal Station	Transbay Terminal Station	4th & King Terminal Station	Four-Track	
Soils/Slope Constraints	5	5	4	4	4	
Area of highly erodible soils (square meters)	595,835	595,835	955,283	955,283	955,283	
Area of high shrink/ swell soils (square meters)	830,006	830,006	989,454	989,454	989,454	
Area of steep slopes - greater the 9 percent (square meters)	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	
Seismic Constraints	 San Bruno Fault All high-speed train facilities would be designed taking into account existing soil, groundwater, and geologic conditions in the area and to withstand maximum credible earthquakes from fault activity in the area. 					

1 2 3 4 5 Least Favorable Most Favorable